NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SEP 08 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IGNACIO DIAZ-FIGUEROA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75367

Agency No. A71-922-634

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 26, 2008**

Before: SCHROEDER, KLEINFELD, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Ignacio Diaz-Figueroa, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for cancellation of

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal and also denying his request for a continuance to apply for adjustment of status. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. Reviewing for abuse of discretion, *Nakamoto v. Ashcroft*, 363 F.3d 874, 883 n.6 (9th Cir. 2004), we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in affirming the IJ's denial of Diaz-Figueroa's request for a continuance where his application for labor certification had not been approved at the time of the hearing and, therefore, no relief was immediately available. *See Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey*, 526 F.3d 1243, 1247 (9th Cir. 2008) (per curiam).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

AP/Research 2